

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/632,537	C	08/01/2003	Paul V. Goode JR.	DEXCOM.024A	DEXCOM.024A 2669	
20995	7590	10/20/2004		EXAMINER		
KNOBBE I		IS OLSON & BEA	NGHIEM, MICHAEL P			
FOURTEENTH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA	A 92614			2863		

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•			
Office Action Summary	10/632,537	GOODE ET AL.	
omoo noden damma.y	Examiner	Art Unit	**
The MAILING DATE of this communica	Michael P Nghiem	2863	'ASS
Period for Reply	non appears on the cover sheet h	ini ino correspondence addi	000
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dated if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice			nerits is
·	under Ex parte Quayre, 1905 C.	5. 11, 400 0.0. 210.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the app			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29,31 and 32</u> is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,10-14,19-23,28,30 and 33</u>	ic/oro rojected		
7) Claim(s) 6-9,15-18 and 24-27 is/are ob			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction			
Application Papers	·		
9) The specification is objected to by the E10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 August 2003		hiected to by the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			R 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to b			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action f	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in the priority documents have bee I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National S	tage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Art Unit: 2863

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 10, 20, and 21 are objected to because of the following informalities:
- claim 10, "method" (line 2) should be system --.
- claim 20, "the user interface" (line 2) lacks antecedent basis.
- claim 21, "the user" (line 2) lacks antecedent basis.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 10-14, 19-23, 28, 30, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gross et al. (US 6,275,717).

Art Unit: 2863

Regarding claims 1, 10, 19, 28, 30, and 33, Gross et al. discloses a method and system (Fig. 1a) for evaluating clinical acceptability of at least one of reference and sensor analyte data, comprising:

Page 3

- receiving a data stream (further sensor signals, lines 48-50, received from 15) from an analyte sensor (15), including one or more sensor data points (further sensor signals);
- receiving reference data (first sensor signal, column 19, line 44) from a reference analyte monitor (from 15 of monitor device 10), including one or more reference data points (first sensor signal);
- evaluating the clinical acceptability at least one of said reference and sensor analyte data using substantially time corresponding reference or sensor data (column 19, lines 45-50), wherein said at least one of said reference and sensor analyte data is evaluated for deviation from its substantially time corresponding reference or sensor data (column 19, lines 10-13) and clinical risk (analyte level passes beyond limit, column 19, lines 12-13) associated with that deviation based on the glucose value indicated by at least one of said sensor and reference data (glucose levels too high, column 10, line 6).

Regarding claims 2, 11, 20, 28, and 30, Gross et al. further discloses providing an output (via controller, column 19, line 14through a user interface (24 displays data/levels to user) responsive to said clinical acceptability evaluation.

Art Unit: 2863

Regarding claims 3, 12, and 21, Gross et al. discloses that the step of providing an output includes alerting the user based on said clinical acceptability evaluation (column 19, lines 16-18).

Regarding claims 4, 13, and 22, Gross et al. discloses that the step of providing an output includes altering the user interface based on said clinical acceptability evaluation (changes display to display warning if analyte level is beyond limit, column 19, lines 13-18).

Regarding claims 5, 14, 23, and 33, Gross et al. further discloses that the step of altering the user interface includes at least one of providing color-coded information, trend information, directional information, and fail-safe information (warning information).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 6-9, 15-18, and 24-27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

4. Claims 29, 31, and 32 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2863

Reasons For Allowance

5. The combination or method as claimed wherein evaluating the clinical acceptability includes using one of a Clarke Error Grid, a mean absolute difference calculation, a rate of change calculation, a consensus grid, and a standard clinical acceptance test (claims 6, 15, 24, 29, 31, 32) or requesting additional reference data if said clinical acceptability evaluation determines clinical unacceptability (claims 7, 16, 25) or matching reference data to substantially time corresponding sensor data to form a matched pair after the clinical acceptability evaluation step (claims 9, 18, 27) is not disclosed, suggested, or made obvious by the prior art of record.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Say et al. (US 6,175,752) discloses an analyte monitoring device (Fig. 1).

Contact Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Nghiem whose telephone number is (571) 272-2277. The examiner can normally be reached on M-H from 6:30AM – 5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 2863

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached at (571) 272-2269. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0956.

MICHAEL NGHIEM PRIMARY EXAMINER

Michael Nghiem

October 14, 2004